

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/027,067	Applicant(s) DIGIOVANNI ET AL.	
	Examiner Carlos Lopez	Art Unit 1731	

All Participants:

 (1) Carlos Lopez.

 (2) Peter Wilde.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2004
Time: 8am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10,11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To clarify that claim's 10 ratio refers to the optical fiber and not the preform, the term - -of the optical fiber- - was inserted after the term "the cladding diameter". Additionally, claim 11 was cancelled as it appears to not further limit claim 10.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)